Financial Statements

Year Ended September 30, 2012

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT	1-2
BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS	
Government-wide Financial Statements	
Statement of net assets	5
Statement of activities	6
Fund Financial Statements	
Governmental funds:	
Balance sheet	8-9
Statement of revenues, expenditures, and changes in fund balances	10-11
Notes to basic financial statements	12-21
REQUIRED SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION	
Budgetary comparison schedule- General Fund	23
Notes to budgetary comparison schedule	24
OTHER SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION	
Schedule of the District's ten largest ad valorem taxpayers and	
value of homestead exempt property taxes	26
INTERNAL CONTROL, COMPLIANCE, AND OTHER MATTERS	
Report on internal control over financial reporting and on compliance and other	
matters based on an audit of financial statements performed in accordance	
with Government Auditing Standards	28-29
Schedule of audit results and findings	30-32
Summary schedule of prior year findings	33
Corrective action plan for current year findings	34

KOLDER, CHAMPAGNE, SLAVEN & COMPANY, LLC

CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS

Russell F. Champagne, CPA*
Victor R. Slaven, CPA*
P. Troy Courville, CPA*
Gerald A. Thibodeaux, Jr., CPA*
Robert S. Carter, CPA*
Arthur R. Mixon, CPA*
Penny Angelle Scruggins, CPA

C. Burton Kolder, CPA*

Christine C. Doucet, CPA

Wanda F. Arcement, CPA, CVA Allen J. LaBry, CPA Albert R. Leger, CPA,PFS,CSA* Marshall W. Guidry, CPA Stephen R Moore, Jr., CPA,PFS,CFP®,ChFC®* James R. Roy, CPA Robert J. Metz, CPA Alan M. Taylor, CPA Kelly M. Doucet, CPA Cheryl L. Bartley, CPA Mandy B. Self, CPA Paul L. Delcambre, Jr., CPA Kristin B. Dauzat, CPA Matthew E. Margaglio, CPA Jane R. Hebert, CPA Bryan K. Joubert, CPA Stephen J. Anderson, CPA

Retired:

Conrad O. Chapman, CPA* 2006 Tynes E. Mixon, Jr., CPA 2011

W. Jeffrey Lowry, CPA

OFFICES

183 South Beadle Rd. Lafayette, LA 70508 Phone (337) 232-4141 Fax (337) 232-8660 450 East Main Street New Iberia, LA 70560 Phone (337) 367-9204 Fax (337) 367-9208

113 East Bridge St. Breaux Bridge, LA 70517 Phone (337) 332-4020 Fax (337) 332-2867 200 South Main Street Abbeville, LA 70510 Phone (337) 893-7944 Fax (337) 893-7946

1234 David Dr. Ste 203 Morgan City, LA 70380 Phone (985) 384-2020 Fax (985) 384-3020

1013 Main Street Franklin, LA 70538 Phone (337) 828-0272 Fax (337) 828-0290

408 West Cotton Street Ville Platte, LA 70586 Phone (337) 363-2792 Fax (337) 363-3049 133 East Waddil St. Marksville LA 71351 Phone (318) 253-9252 Fax (318) 253-8681

332 West Sixth Avenue Oberlin, LA 70655 Phone (337) 639-4737 Fax (337) 639-4568 1428 Metro Drive Alexandria, LA 71301 Phone (318) 442-4421 Fax (318) 442-9833

WEB SITE WWW.KCSRCPAS.COM

INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT

Board of Commissioners Consolidated Gravity Drainage District No. 2 of the Parish of St. Mary Morgan City, Louisiana

We have audited the accompanying financial statements of the governmental activities and each major fund of Consolidated Gravity Drainage District No. 2 of the Parish of St. Mary (hereinafter "District"), a component unit of the Parish of St. Mary, as of and for the year ended September 30, 2012, which collectively comprise the District's basic financial statements as listed in the table of contents. These financial statements are the responsibility of the District's management. Our responsibility is to express opinions on these financial statements based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those Standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and the significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinions.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the respective financial position of the governmental activities and each major fund of the District, as of September 30, 2012, and the respective changes in financial position for the year then ended in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

In accordance with Government Auditing Standards, we have also issued our report dated April 4, 2013, on our consideration of the District's internal control over financial reporting and on our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements and other matters. The purpose of that report is to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the internal control over financial reporting or on compliance. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with Government Auditing Standards and should be considered in assessing the results of our audit.

Accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America require that budgetary comparison information be presented to supplement the basic financial statements. Such information, although not a part of the basic financial statements, is required by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board who considers it to be an essential part of the financial reporting for placing the basic financial statements in an appropriate operational, economic, or historical context. We have applied certain limited procedures to the required supplementary information in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America, which consisted of inquiries of management about the methods of preparing the information and comparing the information for consistency with management's responses to our inquiries, the basic financial statements, and other knowledge we obtained during our audit of the basic financial statements. We do not express an opinion or provide any assurance on the budgetary comparison information on pages 23 through 24 because the limited procedures do not provide us with sufficient evidence to express an opinion or provide any assurance.

Management has omitted management's discussion and analysis that accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America require to be presented to supplement the basic financial statements. Such missing information, although not a required part of the basic financial statements, is required by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board who considers it to be an essential part of financial reporting for placing the basic financial statements in an appropriate operational, economic or historical context. Our opinion on the basic financial statements is not affected by this missing information.

Our audit was conducted for the purpose of forming opinions on the financial statements that collectively comprise the District's basic financial statements. The other supplementary information as listed in the table of contents is presented for purposes of additional analysis and is not a required part of the basic financial statements. The other supplementary information on page 26 has not been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the basic financial statements and, accordingly, we do not express an opinion or provide any assurance on that information.

Kolder, Champagne, Slaven & Company, LLC Certified Public Accountants

Morgan City, Louisiana April 4, 2013

BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

GOVERNMENT-WIDE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Statement of Net Assets September 30, 2012

ASSETS	Governmental Activities
Current assets: Cash Due from other governments Prepaid expenses Total current assets	\$ 8,886,237 44,842 38,580 8,969,659
Noncurrent assets: Capital assets: Not being depreciated Net of accumulated depreciation Bond issuance and refunding costs, net of amortization Total noncurrent assets Total assets	2,860,645 5,481,015 82,201 8,423,861 17,393,520
LIABILITIES	<u>, </u>
Current liabilities: Accounts and other payables Bonds payable Total current liabilities	115,387 580,000 695,387
Noncurrent liabilities: Bonds payable Total liabilities	9,866,817 10,562,204
NET ASSETS	
Invested in capital assets, net of related debt Restricted for debt service Unrestricted	5,333,257 1,091,527 406,532
Total net assets	\$ 6,831,316

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the basic financial statements.

Statement of Activities Year Ended September 30, 2012

Governmental activities:	
Advertising	\$ 746
Amortization	7,194
Depreciation	298,285
Emergency operations	44,618
Fuel and electricity	48,349
Insurance	82,755
Interest and fiscal charges	489,702
Miscellaneous	19,207
Pension	67,991
Per diem - board	3,510
Professional fees	12,517
Repairs and maintenance	670,428
Salaries and wages	115,650
Secretary and accounting	4,200
Total program expenses	_1,865,152
General revenues:	
Ad valorem taxes	1,951,200
Interest income	20,461
Miscellaneous	1,190
Total general revenues	1,972,851
Change in net assets	107,699
Net assets, beginning	_6,723,617
Net assets, ending	\$6,831,316

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the basic financial statements.

FUND FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Balance Sheet Governmental Funds September 30, 2012

ASSETS	General	Capital Projects	Debt Service	Total Governmental Funds
Cash	\$ 357,530	\$7,416,654	\$ 1,112,053	\$ 8,886,237
Receivables -				
Due from other governments	35,938		8,904	44,842
Due from other funds	3 =	29,430		29,430
Total assets	\$ 393,468	\$7,446,084	\$ 1,120,957	\$ 8,960,509
LIABILITIES AND FUND BALANCES				
Liabilities:				
Accounts payable	\$ 67,533	\$ 7,670	\$ -	\$ 75,203
Accrued liabilities	2,359	=	-	2,359
Due to other funds	-	=	29,430	29,430
Deferred revenue	29,137		■ .	29,137
Total liabilities	99,029	7,670	29,430	136,129
Fund balances:				
Restricted	=	7,438,414	1,091,527	8,529,941
Unassigned	294,439	-		294,439
Total fund balances	294,439	7,438,414	1,091,527	8,824,380
Total liabilities and fund balances	\$ 393,468	\$7,446,084	\$ 1,120,957	\$ 8,960,509
				(continued)

Balance Sheet (continued) Governmental Funds September 30, 2012

Reconciliation of the Governmental Funds Balance Sheet to the Statement of Net Assets:

Total fund balances for governmental funds at September 30, 2012		\$ 8,824,380
Total net assets reported for governmental activities in the statement of net assets is different because:		
Receivables from reimbursement grants are not available to pay for current year expenditures and are deferred in the fund financial statements.		29,137
Capital assets used in governmental activities are not financial resources and, therefore, are not reported in the funds. Those assets consist of:		
Land, canals, and levees	\$ 2,594,657	
Construction in progress	265,988	
Pumps and engines, net of \$1,977,248 accumulated depreciation	324,898	
Pump station improvements, buildings, net of \$2,629,237 accumulated depreciation	3,518,707	
Drainage improvements, net of \$997,604 accumulated depreciation	1,637,410	8,341,660
Prepaid expenses used in governmental activities are not financial resources		
and, therefore, are not reported in the funds.		38,580
Certain costs related to the issuance of long-term debt are expensed in the fund financial statements but amortized over the life of the issuance in the		
governmental funds.		82,201
Long-term liabilities, including bonds payable, are not due and payable in the current period and therefore are not reported as liabilities in the funds:		
Bonds payable	(10,446,817)	
Accrued interest payable	(37,825)	(10,484,642)
Total net assets of governmental activities at September 30, 2012		\$ 6,831,316

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the basic financial statements.

Statement of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balances Governmental Funds Year Ended September 30, 2012

	General	Capital Projects	Debt Service	Total Governmental Funds
Revenues:				
Ad valorem taxes	\$ 844,986	\$ -	\$ 1,106,214	\$1,951,200
Interest income	881	16,137	3,443	20,461
Intergovernmental	262,232		-	262,232
Miscellaneous	1,190	-	-	1,190
Total revenues	1,109,289	16,137	1,109,657	2,235,083
Expenditures:				
Current -				
Drainage works				
Advertising	746	: -	-	746
Emergency operations	44,618	\ -	_	44,618
Fuel and electricity	48,349	-	-	48,349
Insurance	85,050			85,050
Miscellaneous	19,207		<u> </u>	19,207
Pension	29,440	=	38,551	67,991
Per diem - board	3,510	-	1	3,510
Professional fees	8,100	4,417	-	12,517
Repairs and maintenance	550,528	119,900		670,428
Salaries and wages	115,650			115,650
Secretary and accounting	4,200		V <u>P</u>	4,200
Debt service -				
Principal	201	()	545,000	545,000
Interest and fiscal charges	-	; =	485,974	485,974
Capital outlay	840	51,852		52,692
Total expenditures	910,238	176,169	1,069,525	2,155,932
Net change in fund balance	199,051	(160,032)	40,132	79,151
Fund balances, beginning	95,388	7,598,446	_1,051,395	8,745,229
Fund balances, ending	\$ 294,439	\$7,438,414	\$ 1,091,527	\$8,824,380
				(continued)

Statement of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balances (continued) Governmental Funds Year Ended September 30, 2012

Reconciliation of the Statement of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balances of Governmental Funds to the Statement of Activities:

Amounts reported for governmental activities in the statement of activities are different because:

Net change in fund balances - governmental funds		\$ 79,151
Some revenues reported in the governmental funds were reported as revenues in the statement of activities in a prior year		(262,232)
Governmental funds report capital outlays as expenditures. However, in the statement of activities, the cost of those assets is allocated over their estimated useful lives and reported as depreciation expense. Capital outlay which is considered expenditures on Statement of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balances Depreciation expense for the year ended September 30, 2012	\$ 52,692 (298,285)	(245,593)
The issuance of long-term debt provides current financial resources to governmental funds, while the repayment of the principal of long-term debt consumes the current financial resources of governmental funds. Neither transactions, however, has any effect on net assets. Also, governmental funds report the effects of issuance costs, premiums, discounts, and similar items when debt is first issued, whereas these amounts are deferred an amortized in the statement of activities.		
Principal payment	545,000	
Change in accrued interest Amortization of bond issuance costs and deferred gain on advanced funding	1,802 (12,724)	534,078
Governmental activities report the changes in prepaid expense as an		
increase or decrease in current general government expense.	20.500	
Current year prepaid expense Prior year prepaid expense	38,580	2 205
Filor year prepard expense	(36,285)	2,295
Total changes in net assets at September 30, 2012 per Statement of Activities		\$ 107,699

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the basic financial statements.

Notes to Basic Financial Statements

(1) Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

On November 12, 1997, the St. Mary Parish Council created Consolidated Gravity Drainage District No. 2 of the Parish of St. Mary (hereinafter "District"), a consolidated gravity district which included the boundaries of Gravity Drainage District No. 3 and Gravity Drainage District No. 5. The District was created and established pursuant to the provisions of Part II, Chapter 7, Title 38 of the Louisiana Revised Statutes of 1950, as amended. The District has full power and authority to drain lands in the district by construction, maintenance and operation of pumping plants, dikes, levees, and other related works.

A. Financial Reporting Entity

This report includes all funds which are controlled by or dependent on the District's executive and legislative branches (the Board of Commissioners). Control by or dependence on the District was determined on the basis of budget adoptions, taxing authority, authority to issue debt, election or appointment of governing body, and other general oversight responsibility. The District is an integral part of the Parish of St. Mary.

B. Government-Wide and Fund Financial Statements

The government-wide financial statements report information on all of the activities of the government. For the most part, the effect of interfund activity has been removed from these statements. Both the government-wide and the fund financial statement categorize primary activities as governmental.

The statement of activities demonstrates the degree to which the direct expenses of a given function or segment is offset by program revenues. Direct expenses are those that are clearly identifiable with a specific function or segment. Taxes and items not properly included among program revenues are reported as general revenues.

C. Measurement Focus, Basis of Accounting, and Financial Statement Presentation

The government-wide financial statements are reported using the economic resources measurement focus and the accrual basis of accounting. Revenues are recorded when earned and expenses are recorded when the liability is incurred, regardless of the timing of the related cash flows. Property taxes are recognized as revenues in the year for which they are levied. Grants and similar items are recognized as revenues in the year for which they are earned.

Governmental fund financial statements are reported using the current financial resources measurement focus and the modified accrual basis of accounting. Revenues are recognized as soon as they are both measurable and available. Revenues are considered to be available when they are collectible within the current period or soon enough thereafter to pay liabilities for the current period. For this purpose, the District considers revenues to be available if they are collectible within the current period or soon enough thereafter to pay liabilities of the current period. Revenues are considered to be available if they are collected within 60 days of the end of the current fiscal period. Expenditures generally are recorded when a liability is incurred, as under accrual accounting.

Notes to Basic Financial Statements (continued)

Ad valorem taxes and interest associated with the current fiscal period are all considered to be susceptible to accrual and have been recognized as revenues of the current fiscal period. Ad valorem taxes are recognized as revenues in the year in which such taxes are levied and billed to taxpayers. Other major revenues that are considered susceptible to accrual include earned grant revenues and other intergovernmental revenues, and interest on investments.

The District maintains three funds. They are categorized as governmental funds. The emphasis on fund financial statements is on major governmental funds, each displayed in a separate column. A fund is considered major if it is the primary operating fund of the entity or meets the following criteria:

- a. Total assets, liabilities, revenues, or expenditures/expenses of that individual governmental or enterprise fund are at least 10 percent of the corresponding total for all funds of that category or type; and
- b. Total assets, liabilities, revenues, or expenditures/expenses of the individual governmental or enterprise fund are at least 5 percent of the corresponding total for all governmental and enterprise funds combined.

Governmental Funds -

The focus of the governmental funds' measurement (in the fund statement) is upon determination of financial position and changes in financial position (sources, uses, and balances of financial resources) rather than upon net income. The following is a description of the governmental funds of the District, which are also the major funds:

General Fund

The General Fund is the general operating fund of the District. It is used to account for all financial resources except those required to be accounted for in another fund.

Capital Projects Fund

The Capital Projects Fund is used to account for financial resources to be used for the acquisition or construction of major capital facilities or repair or replacement of major capital facilities.

Debt Service Fund

The Debt Service Fund is used to account for the accumulation of funds for the periodic payment of principal and interest on general long-term debt.

Notes to Basic Financial Statements (continued)

D. Interest-bearing Deposits

Interest-bearing deposits are stated at cost, which approximates market. The interest-bearing deposits are time deposits which are fully secured through the pledge of bank-owned securities or the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC) insurance coverage.

E. Receivables

In the government-wide statements, receivables consist of all revenues earned at year-end and not yet received.

F. Capital Assets

All capital assets purchased or acquired with an original cost of \$500 or more are reported at historical cost or estimated historical cost. Contributed assets are reported at fair market value as of the date received. Additions, improvements, and other capital outlays that significantly extend the useful life of an asset are capitalized. Other costs incurred for repairs and maintenance are expensed as incurred. Depreciation on all assets is provided on the straight-line basis over the following estimated useful lives:

Buildings, pump stations, and improvements

Drainage system improvements

Equipment, pumps, and engines

Land, canals, levees, and construction in progress

15-40 years
20-25 years
15-25 years
Not being depreciated

G. Equity Classifications

In the government-wide statements, equity is classified as net assets and displayed in three components:

- a. Invested in capital assets, net of related debt Consists of capital assets including restricted capital assets, net of accumulated depreciation and reduced by the outstanding balances of any bonds, mortgages, notes, or other borrowings that are attributable to the acquisition, construction, or improvement of those assets.
- b. Restricted net assets Consists of net assets with constraints placed on their use either by (1) external groups such as creditors, grantors, contributors, or laws or regulations of other governments; or (2) law through constitutional provisions or enabling legislation.
- c. Unrestricted net assets All other net assets that do not meet the definition of "restricted" or "invested in capital assets, net of related debt".

Notes to Basic Financial Statements (continued)

In the fund statements, governmental fund equity is classified as fund balance. Fund balance is displayed in the following classifications depicting the relative strength of the spending constraints placed on the purposes for which resources can be used:

- a. Nonspendable amounts that cannot be spent either because they are in nonspendable form (such as prepaid amounts) or because they are legally or contractually required to be maintained intact.
- b. Restricted amounts that can be spent only for specific purposes because of constitutional provisions or enabling legislation or because of constraints that are externally imposed by creditors, grantors, contributors, or the laws or regulations of other governments.
- c. Committed amounts that can be used only for specific purposes determined by a formal action of the Board of Commissioners. The Board is the highest level of decision-making authority for the District. Commitments may be established, modified, or rescinded only through formal actions of the Board of Commissioners.
- d. Assigned amounts that do not meet the criteria to be classified as restricted or committed but that are intended to be used for specific purposes. Under the District's adopted policy, only the Board of Commissioners may assign amounts for specific purposes.
- e. Unassigned amounts that are available for any purpose.

When an expenditure is incurred for purposes for which both restricted and unrestricted fund balance is available, the District considers restricted funds to have been spent first. When an expenditure is incurred for which committed, assigned, or unassigned fund balances are available, the District considers amounts to have been spent first out of committed funds, then assigned funds, and finally unassigned funds, as needed, unless the Board of Commissioners has provided otherwise in its commitment of assignment actions.

H. Use of Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles requires management to make certain estimates and assumptions. Those estimates affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements. Actual results may differ from these estimates.

Notes to Basic Financial Statements (continued)

(2) Cash and Interest-Bearing Deposits

Under state law, the District may deposit funds within a fiscal agent bank organized under the laws of the State of Louisiana, the laws of any other state in the Union, or the laws of the United States. The District may invest in certificates and time deposits of state banks organized under Louisiana law and national banks having principal offices in Louisiana. At September 30, 2012, the District has cash and interest-bearing deposits (book balances) totaling \$8,886,237 as follows:

Demand deposits	\$ 535,700
Money market accounts	8,350,537
Total	\$8,886,237

These deposits are stated at cost, which approximates market. Under state law, these deposits, (or the resulting bank balances) must be secured by federal deposit insurance or similar federal security or the pledge of securities owned by the fiscal agent bank. The market value of the pledged securities plus the federal deposit insurance must at all times equal the amount on deposit with the fiscal agent bank. These securities are held in the name of the District or the pledging fiscal agent bank by a holding or custodial bank that is mutually acceptable to both parties. Deposit balances (bank balances) at September 30, 2012, are secured as follows:

Bank balances	\$ 8,900,400
Federal deposit insurance	\$ 799,864
Pledged securities	8,100,536
Total federal insurance and pledged securities	\$ 8,900,400

Custodial credit risk for deposits is the risk that in the event of the failure of a depository financial institution, the District's deposits may not be recovered or the District will not be able to recover collateral securities that are in the possession of an outside party. The District does not have a policy to monitor or attempt to reduce exposure to custodial credit risk. However, as of September, 2012, the District's total bank balances were fully insured and collateralized with securities held in the name of the District by the pledging financial institution's agent and, therefore, not exposed to custodial credit risk.

Notes to Basic Financial Statements (continued)

(3) <u>Due from Other Governments</u>

The following is a summary of amounts due from other governments at September 30, 2012:

	Governmental Activities	
Due from -	ol .	. II
St. Mary Parish Sheriff	\$	15,705
State of LA Department of Homeland Security		29,137
Total	\$	44,842

(4) Interfund Balances

The composition of interfund balances at September 30, 2012 consisted of the following:

	Interfund	Interfund
	Receivables	Payables
Capital Projects Fund	\$ 29,430	
Debt Service Fund	100	\$ 29,430

These receivables and payables reverse in the normal course of operations. All remaining balances resulted from time lag between the dates that (1) interfund goods and services are provided or reimbursable expenditures occur, (2) transactions are recorded in the accounting system, and (3) payment between the funds are made.

(5) Ad Valorem Taxes

Ad valorem taxes attach as an enforceable lien on property within the District's taxing area as of January 1 of each year. Taxes are levied by the District in September or October and are actually billed to taxpayers in November or December. Billed taxes become delinquent on January 1 of the following year. The St. Mary Parish Sheriff bills and collects the District's property taxes using the assessed values determined by the tax assessor of St. Mary Parish. District property tax revenues are budgeted in the year billed.

For the year ended September 30, 2012, taxes of 17.18 mills were levied on property with assessed valuations totaling \$121,306,464 and were dedicated as follows:

Maintenance and operations	7.44 mills
Debt service	9.74 mills

Total taxes levied were \$2,084,045.

Notes to Basic Financial Statements (continued)

(6) <u>Capital Assets</u>

Capital asset activity as of and for the year ended September 30, 2012 was as follows:

	Beginning			Ending
	Balance Additions		Deletions	Balance
Capital assets not being depreciated	-			
Land, canals, and levees	\$ 2,594,657	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 2,594,657
Construction in progress	214,136	51,852		265,988
Total capital assets not being depreciated	2,808,793	51,852		2,860,645
Capital assets being depreciated:				
Pumps and engines	2,301,306	840	===	2,302,146
Pump station improvements, buildings	6,147,944	<u>=</u>	8	6,147,944
Drainage improvements	2,635,014	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	2,635,014
Total capital assets being depreciated	11,084,264	840		11,085,104
Less accumulated depreciation:				
Pumps and engines	(1,942,148)	(35,100)	₩20	(1,977,248)
Pump station improvements, buildings	(2,453,701)	(175,536)	=0	(2,629,237)
Drainage improvements	(909,955)	(87,649)		(997,604)
Total accumulated depreciation	(5,305,804)	(298,285)		(5,604,089)
Total capital assets, being depreciated, net	5,778,460	(297,445)		5,481,015
Total capital assets, net	\$ 8,587,253	<u>\$ (245,593)</u>	\$	\$ 8,341,660

Depreciation expense in the amount of \$298,285 was charged to drainage works.

(7) Changes in Long-Term Debt

The following is a summary of long-term debt transactions of the District for the year ended September 30, 2012:

	Balance			Balance	Due Within
	10/1/2011	Additions	Deletions	9/30/2012	One Year
General Obligation Refunding Bonds	\$ 1,840,000	\$ -	\$225,000	\$ 1,615,000	\$ 240,000
General Obligation Bonds	9,185,000	(320,000	8,865,000	340,000
Total	\$11,025,000	\$ -	\$545,000	\$10,480,000	\$ 580,000

Notes to Basic Financial Statements (continued)

Bonds payable at September 30, 2012, is comprised of the following individual issues:

General obligation bonds:

\$2,470,000 General Obligation Refunding Bonds, Series 2005, (to defease a	
portion of General Obligation Bonds, Series 1998) payable in annual	
installments of \$5,000 to \$305,000 payable through March 1, 2019, with	
interest at 3.8%	\$ 1,615,000
Less: Deferred amount on refunding, net of amortization	(33,183)
Total	1,581,817
\$3,600,000 General Obligation Bonds, Series 2005, payable in annual installments of \$105,000 to \$280,000 through March 1, 2025, with interest of 4.25% to 5.25%	2,720,000
\$6,320,000 General Obligation Bonds, Series 2009, payable in annual installments of \$175,000 to \$605,000 through March 1, 2029, with interest of 4.10%-6.00%	6,145,000
Total bonds payable	\$10,446,817

The annual requirements to amortize all debt outstanding as of September 30, 2012 are as follows:

Year Ending September 30,	Principal	Interest	Total
2013	\$ 580,000	\$ 459,226	\$ 1,039,226
2014	600,000	433,356	1,033,356
2015	635,000	407,004	1,042,004
2016	665,000	379,270	1,044,270
2017	705,000	349,541	1,054,541
2018-2022	2,995,000	1,322,051	4,317,051
2023-2027	3,120,000	613,355	3,733,355
2028-2029	1,180,000	55,878	1,235,878
Total	\$10,480,000	\$ 4,019,681	\$ 14,499,681

Advance Refunding

On September 1, 2005, the District refunded and defeased \$3,420,000 of the \$5,240,000 General Obligation Bonds, Series 1998 with proceeds from the \$2,470,000 General Obligation Bonds, Series 2005 and \$1,045,000 of surplus funds in the District's Debt Service Fund. At September 30, 2012, \$2,270,000 of the defeased bonds remain outstanding.

Notes to Basic Financial Statements (continued)

(8) Accounts and Other Payables

The accounts and other payables consisted of the following at September 30, 2012:

	Governmental Activities			
Accounts payable	\$	75,203		
Payroll taxes payable		2,359		
Accrued interest payable		37,825		
Total	\$	115,387		

(9) Compensation Paid to Board Members

For the year ended September 30, 2012, the following individuals served on the Board of Commissioners and received per diem allowance as follows:

Carl Kraemer, President	\$	780
Daniel Wilson		715
Harrel Wilson		780
Ray Autrey		585
Tommy Longman	~	650
Total	\$	3,510

(10) Social Security System

All employees of the District participate in the Social Security System. The District and its employees contribute a percentage of each employee's salary to the System (7.65% contributed by the District and 7.65% by the employee). The District's contribution during the year ended September 30, 2012 amounted to \$7,172.

(11) Risk Management

The District is exposed to various risks of loss related to torts; theft of, damage to, and destruction of assets; errors and omissions; injuries to employees; and natural disasters. The District has elected to purchase insurance coverage through the commercial insurance market to cover its exposure to loss. The District is insured up to policy limits for each of the above risks. There were no significant changes in coverage, retentions, or limits during the year ended September 30, 2012. Settled claims have not exceeded the commercial coverage in any of the previous three fiscal years.

Notes to Basic Financial Statements (continued)

(12) New Accounting Pronouncements

In June 2011, the Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) approved Statement No. 63, Financial Reporting of Deferred Outflows of Resources, Deferred Inflows of Resources and Net Position. The statement changes how governments will organize their statements of financial position (such as the current government-wide statement of net assets). Under this standard, financial statements will include deferred outflows of resources and deferred inflows of resources, in addition to assets and liabilities, and will report net position instead of net assets. The provisions of GASB No. 63 must be implemented by the District for the year ending September 30, 2013. The effect of implementation on the District's financial statements has not yet been determined.

REQUIRED SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION

General Fund Budgetary Comparison Schedule Year Ended September 30, 2012

			Variance with			
	В	Budgeted		Final Budget		
	Amounts Actual		Favorable			
	Final Amounts		(Unfavorable)			
Revenues:						
Ad valorem taxes	\$	627,000	\$	844,986	\$	217,986
Interest income		8,690		881		(7,809)
Intergovernmental		-		262,232		262,232
Miscellaneous		=		1,190	_	1,190
Total revenues		635,690		1,109,289		473,599
Expenditures:						
Current -						
Drainage works						
Advertising and publishing		3,000		746		2,254
Emergency operations		25,000		44,618		(19,618)
Engineering and consultant fees		20,000		ú ≡		20,000
Fuel and electricity		31,000		48,349		(17,349)
Insurance		56,000		85,050		(29,050)
Miscellaneous		20,000		19,207		793
Pension		24,000		29,440		(5,440)
Per diem - board		6,000		3,510		2,490
Professional fees		18,800		8,100		10,700
Repairs and maintenance		150,000		550,528		(400,528)
Salaries and wages		124,800		115,650		9,150
Secretary and accounting	28	4,200	_	_4,200		(<u>144</u>)
Total drainage works		482,800		909,398		(426,598)
Capital outlay		100,000		840		99,160
Total expenditures	9	582,800		910,238	8	(327,438)
Net change in fund balance		52,890		199,051		146,161
Fund balance, beginning	75	20,000		95,388	<u>e</u>	75,388
Fund balance, ending	\$	72,890	\$	294,439	\$	221,549

 $See\ accompanying\ notes\ to\ budgetary\ comparison\ schedule.$

Notes to Budgetary Comparison Schedule

(1) Basis of Accounting

The budget for the General Fund is adopted on a basis consistent with generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP). Budgeted amounts are as originally adopted or as finally amended by the District.

(2) Excess of expenditures over appropriations

For the year ended September 30, 2012, expenditures exceed appropriations in the General Fund by \$326,248. These excess expenditures were covered by available fund balance in the fund.

(3) Budgetary Practices

The District complies with the "Louisiana Local Government Budget Act" and henceforth, budgets are adopted for its general fund on a modified accrual basis that is consistent with generally accepted accounting principles. Annual budgets are prepared along with a budget message and presented to the Board for adoption no later than 15 days prior to the beginning of the fiscal year. Budgets are adopted for the fiscal year and lapse at year end. The budget is amended by supplemental appropriations as needed during the year to comply with state law.

The District follows these procedures in establishing the budgetary data reflected in the financial statements:

- a. Formal budgetary integration is not employed as a management control device during the year for the General Fund. The budget is prepared and adopted on a basis consistent with generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP), which for the General Fund is the modified basis of accrual.
- b. The District approves and adopts total budget revenue and expenditures only. The District transfers budget amounts between expenditure classifications within the General Fund. Therefore, the level of budgetary responsibility is by total expenditures. Unused appropriations lapse at the end of the year.

OTHER SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION

Schedule of the District's Ten Largest Ad valorem Taxpayers and Value of Homestead Exempt Property Taxes Year Ended September 30, 2012

The District's ten largest ad valorem taxpayers are as follows:

Oceaneering International, Inc.
Steel Service Oilfield
Cashman Equipment Corp.
Morgan City Bank and Trust
Baker Hughes Drilling
Delta Steel, L.P.
Swiftships Shipbuilders, LLC
Morgan City Rentals
Sewart Supply, Inc.
Bell South Communications

The value of homestead exempt property totaled \$17,801,128.

INTERNAL CONTROL, COMPLIANCE, AND OTHER MATTERS

KOLDER, CHAMPAGNE, SLAVEN & COMPANY, LLC

CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS

Russell F. Champagne, CPA*
Victor R. Slaven, CPA*
P. Troy Courville, CPA*
Gerald A. Thibodeaux, Jr., CPA*
Robert S. Carter, CPA*
Arthur R. Mixon, CPA*
Penny Angelle Scruggins, CPA
Christine C. Doucet, CPA

C. Burton Kolder, CPA*

Wanda F. Arcement, CPA, CVA Allen J. LaBry, CPA Albert R. Leger, CPA,PFS,CSA* Marshall W. Guidry, CPA Stephen R Moore, Jr., CPA,PFS,CFP®,ChFC®* James R. Roy, CPA Robert J. Metz, CPA Alan M. Taylor, CPA Kelly M. Doucet, CPA Cheryl L. Bartley, CPA Mandy B. Self, CPA Paul L. Delcambre, Jr., CPA Kristin B. Dauzat, CPA Matthew E. Margaglio, CPA Jane R. Hebert, CPA Bryan K. Joubert, CPA

Retired:

Conrad O. Chapman, CPA* 2006 Tynes E. Mixon, Jr., CPA 2011

Stephen J. Anderson, CPA

W. Jeffrey Lowry, CPA

OFFICES

183 South Beadle Rd. Lafayette, LA 70508 Phone (337) 232-4141 Fax (337) 232-8660 450 East Main Street New Iberia, LA 70560 Phone (337) 367-9204 Fax (337) 367-9208

113 East Bridge St. Breaux Bridge, LA 70517 Phone (337) 332-4020 Fax (337) 332-2867 200 South Main Street Abbeville, LA 70510 Phone (337) 893-7944 Fax (337) 893-7946

1234 David Dr. Ste 203 Morgan City, LA 70380 Phone (985) 384-2020 Fax (985) 384-3020 1013 Main Street Franklin, LA 70538 Phone (337) 828-0272 Fax (337) 828-0290

408 West Cotton Street Ville Platte, LA 70586 Phone (337) 363-2792 Fax (337) 363-3049 133 East Waddil St. Marksville LA 71351 Phone (318) 253-9252 Fax (318) 253-8681

332 West Sixth Avenue Oberlin, LA 70655 Phone (337) 639-4737 Fax (337) 639-4568 1428 Metro Drive Alexandria, LA 71301 Phone (318) 442-4421 Fax (318) 442-9833

WEB SITE WWW.KCSRCPAS.COM

REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING AND ON COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS BASED ON AN AUDIT OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS

Board of Commissioners Consolidated Gravity Drainage District No. 2 of the Parish of St. Mary Morgan City, Louisiana

We have audited the financial statements of the governmental activities and each major fund of Consolidated Gravity Drainage District No. 2 of the Parish of St. Mary (hereinafter "District"), a component unit of the Parish of St. Mary, as of and for the year ended September 30, 2011, which collectively comprise the District's basic financial statements and have issued our report thereon dated April 4, 2013. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States.

Internal Control over Financial Reporting

Management of the District is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting. In planning and performing our audit, we considered the District's internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing our auditing procedures for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the District's internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the District's internal control over financial reporting.

Our consideration of internal control over financial reporting was for the limited purpose described in the preceding paragraph and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over financial reporting that might be significant deficiencies or material weaknesses and therefore, there can be no assurance that all deficiencies, significant deficiencies, or material weaknesses have been identified. However, as described in the accompanying schedule of audit results and findings, we identified certain deficiencies in internal control over financial reporting that we consider to be material weaknesses.

A deficiency in internal control exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct misstatements on a timely basis. A material weakness is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the District's financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis. We consider the deficiencies described in the accompanying schedule of audit results and findings as items 2012-1 and 2012-2 to be material weaknesses.

Compliance and Other Matters

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the District's financial statements are free of material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed an instance of noncompliance or other matter that is required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards* and which is described in the accompanying schedule of audit results and findings as item 2012-3.

The District's responses to the findings identified in our audit are described in the accompanying corrective action plan for current year findings. We did not audit the District's responses and, accordingly, we express no opinion on the responses.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of the District's Board of Commissioners, management and others within the organization, the Louisiana Legislative Auditor and the St. Mary Parish Council and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties. Although the intended use of this report may be limited under Louisiana Revised Statute 24:513, this report is distributed by the Louisiana Legislative Auditor as a public document in accordance with Louisiana Revised Statute 44:6.

Kolder, Champagne, Slaven & Company, LLC Certified Public Accountants

Morgan City, Louisiana April 4, 2013

Schedule of Audit Results and Findings Year Ended September 30, 2012

Part I: Summary of Auditor's Results

Unqualified opinions have been issued on the financial statements of the District's governmental activities and each major fund (the General Fund).

Deficiencies in internal control over financial reporting were disclosed by the audit of the financial statements and the conditions are considered material weaknesses.

An instance of noncompliance required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards* is included in Part II of this schedule.

A management letter was not issued.

Part II: Findings reported in accordance with Governmental Auditing Standards

A. Internal Control

2012-1 – Inadequate Segregation of Duties

CONDITION: Accounting and financial functions are not adequately segregated.

CRITERIA: Internal control is a process – effected by those charged with governance, management, and other personnel – designed to provide reasonable assurance about the achievement of objectives with regard to reliability of financial reporting, effectiveness and efficiency of operations, and compliance with applicable laws and regulations. The District's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that pertain to the District's ability to record, process, summarize, and report financial data consistent with the assertions embodied in financial statements.

CAUSE: The cause of the condition is the result of a failure to design and implement policies and procedures necessary to achieve adequate internal control.

EFFECT: Failure to adequately segregate accounting and financial functions increases the risk that errors and/or irregularities including fraud and/or defalcations may occur and not be prevented and/or detected.

RECOMMENDATION: Due to the size of the operation and the cost-benefit of additional personnel, it may not be feasible to achieve complete segregation of duties.

Schedule of Audit Results and Findings (continued) Year Ended September 30, 2012

2012-2 - Financial Reporting

CONDITION: Management and staff lack the expertise and/or experience in the selection and application of generally accepted accounting principles, as applicable to governmental entities, in the financial statement preparation process.

CRITERIA: The District's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that pertain to its ability to record, process, summarize, and report financial date consistent with the assertions embodied in the financial statements, including the ability of its management and staff to detect potential misstatements that may exist in the financial statements and related disclosures.

CAUSE: The condition results from a reliance on the auditor as part of the internal control process.

EFFECT: Financial statements and related notes may reflect a material departure from generally accepted accounting principles.

RECOMMENDATION: The additional costs required to achieve the desired benefit may not be economically feasible.

B. Compliance

2012-3 - Local Government Budget Act

CONDITION: The District did not publish a notice of the public hearing at least 10 days prior to a hearing, did not conduct a public hearing prior to adopting proposed budget, and incurred negative budget variances in excess of 5% in the general fund.

CRITERIA:

Also, LSA-RS 39:1307, Public Participation, provides for the following:

"B. Upon completion of the proposed budget and, if applicable, its submission to the governing authority, the political subdivision shall cause to be published a notice stating that the proposed budget is available for public inspection. The notice shall also state that a public hearing on the proposed budget shall be held with the date, time, and place of the hearing specified in the notice. The notice shall be published at least ten days prior to the date of the first public hearing.

C. No proposed budget shall be considered for adoption or otherwise finalized until at least one public hearing has been conducted on the proposal. Nothing herein shall prohibit one or more political subdivisions from conducting joint public hearings. "

Also, LSA-RS 39:1311 et seq, Budgetary Authority and Control, provides for the following:

Schedule of Audit Results and Findings (continued) Year Ended September 30, 2012

"A. The adopted budget and any duly authorized adopted amendments shall form the framework from which the chief executive or administrative officers and members of the governing authority of the political subdivision shall monitor revenues and control expenditures. The chief executive or administrative officer for a political subdivision subject to public participation shall advise the governing authority or independently elected official in writing when:

- (1) Total revenue and other sources plus projected revenue and other sources for the remainder of the year, within a fund, are failing to meet total budgeted revenues and other sources by five percent or more.
- (2) Total actual expenditures and other uses plus projected expenditures and other uses for the remainder of the year, within a fund, are exceeding the total budgeted expenditures and other uses by five percent or more.
- (3) Actual beginning fund balance, within a fund, fails to meet estimated beginning fund balance by five percent or more and fund balance is being used to fund current year expenditures."

CAUSE: The condition results from a failure to properly monitor the revenues and expenditures of the General Fund.

EFFECT: The District may not prevent and/or detect compliance violations due to over expenditure of the appropriated budget, and/or errors or irregularities on a timely basis.

RECOMMENDATION: We recommend that the District publish notification of public hearing at least ten days prior to public hearing, compare actual activity to budgeted amounts and adopt budgetary amendments as necessary to cause compliance with state statute, and adopt budgets in which proposed expenditures do not exceed the total of estimated funds available for the fiscal year.

Part III: Findings and questioned costs for federal awards reported in accordance with Office of Management and Budget Circular A-133:

The requirements of OMB Circular A-133 do not apply to the District.

Summary Schedule of Prior Year Findings Year Ended September 30, 2012

A. Internal Control -

2011-1 - Segregation of Duties

CONDITION: Accounting and financial functions are not adequately segregated.

RECOMMENDATION: Due to size of the operation and the cost-benefit of additional personnel, it may be feasible to achieve complete segregation of duties.

CURRENT STATUS: See schedule of audit results and findings, item 2012-1.

B. Compliance -

2011-2 - Budget Variance

CONDITION: Budget variance in excess of 5% was incurred in the General Fund.

RECOMMENDATION: We recommended that the District periodically compare actual activity to budgeted amounts and adopt budgetary amendments as necessary to cause compliance with state statute.

CURRENT STATUS: See schedule of audit results and findings, item 2012-2.

Corrective Action Plan for Current Year Findings Year Ended September 30, 2012

2012-1 - Segregation of Duties

CONDITION: Accounting and financial functions are not adequately segregated

MANAGEMENT'S RESPONSE: Not considered necessary

2012-2 - Financial Reporting

CONDITION: Management and staff lack the expertise and/or experience in the selection and application of generally accepted accounting principles, as applicable to governmental entities, in the financial statement preparation process.

MANAGEMENT'S RESPONSE: The District has determined that it is not economically feasible to incur the costs necessary to employ personnel with the required expertise and/or experience in the preparation of financial statements and related notes in compliance with generally accepted accounting principles. Therefore, the District has outsourced its financial statement preparation process to its independent auditors and has appointed an individual associated with the District to oversee these procedures.

2012-3 - Local Government Budget Act

CONDITION: The District did not publish a notice of the public hearing at least 10 days prior to a hearing, did not conduct a public hearing prior to adopting proposed budget, and incurred negative budget variances in excess of 5% in the general fund.

MANAGEMENT'S RESPONSE: The public participation requirements of the Local Government Budget Act have been reviewed and the District will implement procedures necessary to ensure compliance with those requirements. Also, the District will more closely monitor budget to actual comparisons and adopt budgetary amendments to cause compliance with state statute.